

# UNITED STATES DECARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION N	O. FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	D INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/435,668	11/08/99	GOOSSEN	к	GOOSSEN-80
		• . •	7	EXAMINER
HENRY I SCHA 29 BROOKFALL	_ ROAD	MM91/0424	JACKS ART	ON C UNIT PAPER NUMBER
EDISON NJ 08	381/		2881 <b>DATE M</b>	AILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

04/24/01

## **BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

3.6	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/435,668						
Office Action Summary		GOOSSEN, KEITH WAYNE					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Cornelius H. Jackson	2881					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS this cause the application to become ABAND	y be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  6 from the mailing date of this communication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		annroved					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
	,						
Attachment(s)							
5) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							

Application/Control Number: 09/435,668

Art Unit: 2881

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. According to claims 1 and 2, the improvement is in the layer of tin oxide, therefore Examiner can not particularly point out how the invention's improvement comprises the layer of tin oxide wherein all the layers are of materials other than tin oxide.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1-2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Sun (6185241). Sun teaches a metal capped mirror **Fig. 1** comprising a stack of dielectric layer of alternating high and low indices of refraction capped with a layer of metal comprising a layer of tin oxide to which the metal capping layer is directly adhered, **column 1**, **line 55 through 3**, **line 23**.

Application/Control Number: 09/435,668

Art Unit: 2881

In regard to claims 2 and 5, Sun teaches all stated limitations, see column 2, line 60 through 3, line 23.

In regards to claim 4, Sun teaches all stated limitations, see column 3, lines 3-8.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 3, to the extent understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun. Sun, as applied above, teaches all stated limitations except that the stack layers are of a material other than tin oxide. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use various ohmic material known in the art, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cornelius H. Jackson whose telephone number is (703)306-5981. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 4:00, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa M. Arroyo can be reached on (703)308-4782. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/435,668

Art Unit: 2881

communications.

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7721 for After Final

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

chj April 18, 2001 TERESA M. ARROYO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800